

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENTCOMPLAINT LOG NO.
1009111TYPE
CRDATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo.-Yr.)
22 April 2008

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either **EXONERATED**, **UNFOUNDED**, **NOT SUSTAINED**, or in **SUSTAINED** cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed **FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION**. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICEATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISIONFROM -INVESTIGATOR'S NAME
Hector VALDESRANK
SGT.STAR NO.
1713EMPLOYEE NO.
[REDACTED]UNIT ASSIGN.
121

UNIT DETAILED

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT

36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd., Chicago, Illinois

DATE OF INCIDENT -TIME

07 SEP 2007 2020

BEAT OF INCIDENT

1010

ACCUSED

NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	UNIT DETAILED
1. Manuel PEREZ	P.O.	14058	[REDACTED]	010	214
2. Matthew S. LITTLE	SGT.	885	[REDACTED]	011	214
3. Nathan HAMILTON	LT.	656	[REDACTED]	011	214
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		
1. M/S	[REDACTED] 1974	10 MAY 1999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
2. M/WHI	[REDACTED] 1968	16 MAR 1998	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
3. M/ BLK	[REDACTED] 1954	11 DEC 1978	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	

IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED	CHARGES	COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE
1.			
2.			
3.			

COMPLAINANT

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/ BLK	[REDACTED] 1980/ 27

VICTIMS

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE

WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

**IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

ALLEGATIONS

NOTE: Complaint Log "Type" is classified CR - Sworn Affidavit on file

It is alleged by the complainant, [REDACTED] that on 07 SEP 2007 at 2020 hours at 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. [REDACTED], Chicago, Illinois, the accused executed Search Warrant [REDACTED] at the wrong address.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

SEE PAGES: 4 to 5

SUMMARY

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	3	0	None	31

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for --- days (not to exceed 5 days).

SEE PAGES: 6 TO 8

FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED (Date complaint was received for investigation)	DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)	ELAPSED TIME (Total time expressed in days)
15 OCT 2007	22 April 2008	190
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.		INVE [REDACTED]

Page 3

Additional Accused:

4. P.O. Clifford HALL #12115, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 010/214, M/S, DOA 27 SEP 2004, On Duty, Sworn
5. P.O. Jacek LEJA #15475, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 011/214, M/WHI, DOA 27 SEP 2004, On Duty, Sworn
6. P.O. Aaron B. CUNNINGHAM #15522, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 010/214, DOA 29 JUN 1998, On Duty, Sworn
7. P.O. Domingo ENRIQUEZ JR, #16380, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 010/214, DOA 29 MAY 2001, On Duty, Sworn
8. P.O. Darryl EDWARDS, #19970, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 011/214, DOA 29 JUL 2002, On Duty, Sworn

Page 4

On 15 Oct 2007 the undersigned was assigned CL# 1009111. On 16 Oct 2007 at 1310 hours the undersigned was able to contact the complainant on the phone provided on the face sheet. Complainant agreed to come into the Internal Affairs office on 24 Oct 2007 at 1000 hours for the purpose of signing an affidavit. On 17 Oct 2007 the undersigned mailed Certified Letter receipt [REDACTED] to the complainant at the address found on the face sheet requesting the complainant's cooperation. On 24 Oct 2007 the complainant arrived in the Internal Affairs office and signed affidavit. The complainant was interviewed at 1020 hours on 24 Oct 2007. (Attachment 03,07,09)

The complainant, [REDACTED] stated that she was home when the search warrant was executed and that the officer's knocked on the door while announcing their office. [REDACTED] stated that she yelled to hold on but the officers kicked in the front door. [REDACTED] stated that once the search warrant was shown to her she discovered that it had an address of 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. at which time she advised the officers that her apartment address was 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. [REDACTED] stated that a Sgt. LITTLE apologized for the inconvenience and gave her his business card while stating he will round up his officers and leave. When [REDACTED] asked about the repairs to the door she stated that Sgt. Little responded that should her landlord have any problems to have him contact him and he would assist him. [REDACTED] stated that when she went outside her apartment she showed the officers that one wall says 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd and that another wall says 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. (Attachment 09)

On 18 March 2008 the undersigned interviewed the accused, P.O. Manuel PEREZ #14058 at 1515 hours. PEREZ stated that on 07 Sep 2007 he attempted to execute Search Warrant [REDACTED] at 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. That he drove past the location with his informant and that his informant pointed to the east first floor apartment which PEREZ'S investigation revealed to be 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. PEREZ stated that when the team executed said warrant they got no response and had to make a forced entry. Once inside the premises he was made aware that the apartment where the warrant was executed was not 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd but 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. PEREZ stated that once inside the apartment there was an individual who matched the description of the target. PEREZ stated that the apartment that the search warrant was executed at had 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas marked outside the door. When PEREZ was asked where 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd apartment was at in connection to the apartment where they executed the warrant, PEREZ stated that it was across the hall. PEREZ stated that Sgt. LITTLE did advise the complainant who to contact for repairs. (Attachment 11)

Page 5

On 25 March 2008 the undersigned interviewed Sergeant LITTLE #656 at 1540 hours. LITTLE stated that he and his team executed Search Warrant [REDACTED] at an apartment which had a brass address plaque outside of the door stating that it was 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. and was pointed out by the informant at an earlier time. LITTLE stated that as soon they entered the apartment, secured the premises and showed the copy of the search warrant to the resident that is when they discovered that the apartment where the search warrant was executed at was 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. Little then told his officers to leave the premises and advised the complainant the process for placing a claim with the city for repairs. LITTLE expressed that he still feels that the address of the apartment where the warrant was executed should have been 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. from the location of the apartment and considering that the address 36 [REDACTED] was outside the door. LITTLE also feels that his team complied with General Orders and all applicable case laws in the investigation, preparation and execution of this search warrant. LITTLE gave the undersigned a copy of the Cook County Assessor's office, property search results which show that neither 36 [REDACTED] nor 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. is listed. (Attachment 13)

On 25 March 2008 at 1620 hours the undersigned interviewed Lieutenant Nathan HAMILTON. HAMILTON stated that on 07 September 2007 at 2020 hours was involved in executing Search Warrant [REDACTED] at 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. That the address was verified by the affiant of the Search warrant before executing warrant and when they executed the warrant the address was verified by the brass plaque affix above the door stating that the address was 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. HAMILTON stated that once the warrant was executed, the premises secured, that is when they discovered we were made aware that they were at the wrong address. The complainant was advised how to have the door repaired and then they left the premises. HAMILTON stated that when they left the address was affixed above the door stating 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd. When asked if 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas existed, HAMILTON stated that it did and that it was in the same multi-unit building. (Attachment 15)

Further investigation revealed that P.O. Diane JOHNSON #3941, Employee [REDACTED], was listed in the search warrant in error and was not present during the execution of said warrant. P.O. JOHNSON has been deleted as an accused.

The R/Sgt received To/From Subject Reports from P.O. Clifford HALL #12115, P.O. Jacek R. LEJA, P.O. Aaron B. CUNNINGHAM #15522, P.O. Domingo ENRIQUEZ JR #16380 AND P.O. Darryl EDWARDS #19970 where they all expressed that their involvement in Search Warrant [REDACTED] was as part of the entry team. As such the allegation for these officers will be Not Sustained, no disciplinary action warranted. (Attachments 17, 19, 21, 23, 25)

Page 6

After reviewing all of the evidence and reports, it is hereby recommended that this case be closed as Sustained and the following recommendation be made.

Accused #1

The undersigned has reviewed all the reports relative to the listed allegation and find the following regarding the accused Manuel PEREZ, employee [REDACTED] Unit 214

ALLEGATION 1: SUSTAINED – Violation of Rule # 10, “Inattention to Duty,” in that on 07 September 2007 at 2020 hours at 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd, Chicago, Illinois, the accused P.O. Manuel PEREZ, star #14058, executed Search Warrant [REDACTED] at the wrong house.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Complimentary and Disciplinary History of P.O. Manuel PEREZ, Employee # [REDACTED] has been reviewed and taken into consideration prior to making the following recommendation. It is recommended that the accused be suspended for a period of one (1) Day from his position as a Police Officer for the Chicago Police Department and from the services of the City of Chicago. (Attachment 26 & 27)

Accused #2

The undersigned has reviewed all the reports relative to the listed allegation and find the following regarding the accused Matthew S. LITTLE, employee [REDACTED] Unit 214

ALLEGATION 1: SUSTAINED – Violation of Rule # 10, “Inattention to Duty,” in that on 07 September 2007 at 2020 hours at 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd, Chicago, Illinois, the accused Sgt. Matthew S. LITTLE, star #885, executed Search Warrant #07SW6424 at the wrong house.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Complimentary and Disciplinary History of Sgt. Matthew S. LITTLE, employee [REDACTED] has been reviewed and taken into consideration prior to making the following recommendation. It is recommended that the accused be suspended for a period of two (2) Day from his position as a Police Officer for the Chicago Police Department and from the services of the City of Chicago. (Attachment 28 & 29)

Page 7

Accused #3

The undersigned has reviewed all the reports relative to the listed allegation and find the following regarding the accused Nathan HAMILTON, employee [REDACTED], Unit 214

ALLEGATION 1: SUSTAINED – Violation of Rule # 10, “Inattention to Duty,” in that on 07 September 2007 at 2020 hours at 36 [REDACTED] W. Douglas Blvd, Chicago, Illinois, the accused Lt. Nathan HAMILTON, star #656, executed Search Warrant [REDACTED] at the wrong house.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Complimentary and Disciplinary History of Lt. Nathan HAMILTON, Employee # [REDACTED] has been reviewed and taken into consideration prior to making the following recommendation. It is recommended that the accused be suspended for a period of three (3) Day from his position as a Police Officer for the Chicago Police Department and from the services of the City of Chicago. (Attachment 30 & 31)

Accused 4: P.O. Clifford S. HALL #12115, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 214
Allegation: Not Sustained
Recommendation: No disciplinary action warranted.

Accused 5: P.O. Jacek LEJA #15475, Emp. [REDACTED] Unit 214
Allegation: Not Sustained
Recommendation: No disciplinary action warranted.

Accused 6: P.O. Aaron CUNNINGHAM #15522, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 214
Allegation: Not Sustained
Recommendation: No disciplinary action warranted.

Accused 7: P.O. Domingo ENRIQUEZ JR #16380, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 214
Allegation: Not Sustained
Recommendation: No disciplinary action warranted.

Accused 8: P.O. Darryl EDWARDS #19970, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 214
Allegation: Not Sustained
Recommendation: No disciplinary action warranted.

DATE INITIATED: 15 October 2007

DATE COMPLETED: 22 April 2008

ELAPSED TIME: 190 Days

Attachments Sheet CR #1009111

	No.	Type	No. of Pages	Narrative	Original in File	Entered By	Entered Date/Time	Status	Approve Content?	Approve Inclusion?	Supervisor Comments
View	1	FACE SHEET				WHITE, LAKEN YA	07-SEP-2007 21:31		[EDIT]		
View	2	CONFLICT CERTIFICATION				VALDE S, HECTOR	28-OCT-2007 02:08		[EDIT]		
View	3	SWORN AFFIDAVIT FROM COMPLAINT	1		NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27-MAR-2008 10:05	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[EDIT]		
View	4	REPORT (OTHER)	1	reassign 10 to 214	NO	BRADY - RHODE S, LEATH EIA	26-SEP-2007 09:43	APPROVED	[EDIT]	YES	
View	5	REPORT (OTHER)	1	Reassignment from 214	NO	DEL RIVER O, MINER VA	05-OCT-2007 09:46	APPROVED	[EDIT]	YES	
View	6	REPORT (OTHER)	1	re-assign to Unit 121	NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27-MAR-2008 10:25	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[EDIT]		
View	7	ATTEMPT TO CONTACT	4	Complaint- certified letter receipts	NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27-MAR-2008 10:06	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[EDIT]		
View	8	SEARCH WARRANT	9		NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27-MAR-2008 10:16	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[EDIT]		
View	9	INTERVIEW - COMPLAINT	4		NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27-MAR-2008 10:36	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[EDIT]		
View	10	ACCUSED NOTIFICATION OF ALLEGATIONS FOR PEREZ, MANUEL				VALDE S, HECTOR	11-MAR-2008 09:32	ACCUSED ACKNOWLEDGED ALLEGATIONS	[EDIT]		
View	11	INTERVIEW	7	P.O. Perez	NO	VALDE	27-	PENDING	[

	- ACCUSED	#14058		S, HECTOR	MAR- 2008 10:54	SUPERVISOR REVIEW	ED IT]		
View	12	ACCUSED NOTIFICATION OF ALLEGATIONS FOR LITTLE, MATTHEW		VALDE S, HECTOR	11- MAR- 2008 09:32	ACCUSED ACKNOWLEDGED ALLEGATIONS	[ED IT]		
View	13	INTERVIEW - ACCUSED	7	Sgt. Little #885	NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27- MAR- 2008 10:58	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	14	ACCUSED NOTIFICATION OF ALLEGATIONS FOR HAMILTON, NATHAN		VALDE S, HECTOR	11- MAR- 2008 09:35	ACCUSED ACKNOWLEDGED ALLEGATIONS	[ED IT]		
View	15	INTERVIEW - ACCUSED	6	Lt. Hamilton #656	NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27- MAR- 2008 11:02	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	16	ACCUSED NOTIFICATION OF ALLEGATIONS FOR HALL, CLIFFORD		VALDE S, HECTOR	11- MAR- 2008 15:47	PENDING ACCUSED REPORT	[ED IT]		
View	17	ACCUSED REPORT	5	P.O. Hall #12115	NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27- MAR- 2008 11:40	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	18	ACCUSED NOTIFICATION OF ALLEGATIONS FOR LEJA, JACEK		VALDE S, HECTOR	11- MAR- 2008 15:48	PENDING ACCUSED REPORT	[ED IT]		
View	19	ACCUSED REPORT	1	P.O. Leja #15475	NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27- MAR- 2008 11:44	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	20	ACCUSED NOTIFICATION OF ALLEGATIONS FOR CUNNINGHAM, AARON		VALDE S, HECTOR	11- MAR- 2008 15:49	PENDING ACCUSED REPORT	[ED IT]		
View	21	ACCUSED REPORT	4	P.O. Cunningham #15522	NO	VALDE S, HECTOR	27- MAR- 2008 11:49	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]

View	22	ACCUSED NOTIFICATI ON OF ALLEGATIO NS FOR ENRIQUEZ JR, DOMINGO				VALDE 11- S, MAR- HECTO 2008 R 15:49	PENDING ACCUSED REPORT	[ED IT]
View	23	ACCUSED REPORT	2	P.O. Enriquez #16380	NO	VALDE 27- S, MAR- HECTO 2008 R 11:56	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	24	ACCUSED NOTIFICATI ON OF ALLEGATIO NS FOR EDWARDS, DARRYL				VALDE 11- S, MAR- HECTO 2008 R 15:50	PENDING ACCUSED REPORT	[ED IT]
View	25	ACCUSED REPORT	1	P.O. Edwards #19970	NO	VALDE 21- S, APR- HECTO 2008 R 07:31	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	26	COMPLIME NTARY HISTORY	1	P.O. Perez #14058	NO	VALDE 22- S, APR- HECTO 2008 R 07:53	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	27	DISCIPLINA RY HISTORY	1	P.O. Perez #14058	NO	VALDE 22- S, APR- HECTO 2008 R 07:54	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	28	COMPLIME NTARY HISTORY	1	Sgt. Little #885	NO	VALDE 22- S, APR- HECTO 2008 R 07:55	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	29	DISCIPLINA RY HISTORY	2	Sgt. Little #885	NO	VALDE 22- S, APR- HECTO 2008 R 07:56	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	30	COMPLIME NTARY HISTORY	1	Lt. Hamilton #656	NO	VALDE 22- S, APR- HECTO 2008 R 07:57	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]
View	31	DISCIPLINA RY HISTORY	1	Lt. Hamilton #656	NO	VALDE 22- S, APR- HECTO 2008 R 07:58	PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	[ED IT]